

From: soupruls@mac.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/18/02 1:13am
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

The tactics used by Microsoft in the recent years, including the "browser wars", were apprehensible at best. By using their dominance as sole provider to 95% of the world's Intel users. it assured itself the top position. It has also begun the same tactics with their .Net strategy, but that is another case. The settlement that Microsoft has proposed is even slimier than the original deeds that brought about the case. Microsoft, as expressed by Steve Jobs and Apple Computer, Inc. and others, is once again trying to put itself into a market where it does not already have control with their "donated" software. It is known, in fact, as the judge agreed, that the real cash value of the settlement is a fraction of what Microsoft is offering in its own product. How can it be possible for a business to be punished by allowing it to disseminate a new market? This must not be allowed, and my faith in the Federal Judicial system tells me that it won't be.

Jefferson Campbell
concerned U.S. Citizen